

Review Form – AMT2018

<i>Title of paper</i>	
<i>Received (date)</i>	

Please rate each aspect of the paper in scale from 1 to 10 points where 1 is very poor and 10 is the best. If in particular paper some of the aspects are not applicable, please mark as NA (not applicable). For each evaluation aspect comments are welcome, especially if valuation is less than 8. Your comments will help to improve quality of the conference papers.

	Evaluation aspects	Points
1	The topic of this paper is relevant for the conference and submitted track. (1 – completely irrelevant, 10 - very relevant) Comment:	
2	The abstract contains well defined aim and tasks of the research. (1 - unsatisfactory, 10 - completely) Comment:	
3	The research methodology for the study is appropriate. (1 – completely inappropriate, 10 – very appropriate) Comment:	
4	The supporting evidence in this study is strongly reliable (1 – completely unreliable, 10 - very reliable or NA) Comment:	
5	The results of analysis are correctly interpreted. (1 - very poor, 10 - very well) Comment:	
6	The conclusions are sound. (1 - very poor, 10 - very well) Comment:	
7	The paper is free from grammatical and spelling errors (1 - very poor, 10 - very well) Comment:	
8	The probability that research will stimulate debate at the conference is (1 - very small, 10 - very high) Comment:	

Suggestions for improvement	
Reviewer's recommendation: check one (type letter X in the appropriate box).	
Accept	
Accept with minor revisions (state in "Suggestions for improvement")	
Invite resubmission for a new review after major revisions	
Reject	X

Reviewer information (confidential)¹

<i>Scientific degree and academic title</i>	
<i>Institution</i>	
<i>E-mail</i>	
<i>Reviewer name, surname</i>	
<i>Date</i>	

¹ This section will be removed before sending the review to the author(s).